Cas	2:09-cr-01298-DSF Do	cument 45	Filed 04/09/12	Page 1 of 2	Page ID #:309
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	JS 6				
7					_
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	Albanta I lamal I azan	o I ozono) C	nga Na . CD	00 1200 DCE
11 12	Alberto Llamel Lozan Petitione		}	CV	09-1298 DSF 11-3301 DSF
13	V.	ι,	\	DGMENT	
13	United States of Amer	rica		DOMENT	
15	Responde		{		
16					
17					
18	Pursuant to this Court's Order Denying Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or				
19	Correct Sentence Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255,				
20	IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this action is dismissed with				
21	prejudice.				
22	For the reasons stated in the Order, the Court finds that Petitioner has not				
23	shown that "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a				
24	valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and jurists of reason would find it				
25	debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." Slack v.				
26	McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see also Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S.				
27	322, 336 (2003) (holding certificate of appealability will not issue unless				
28	petitioner has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right);				
	28 U.S.C. § 2253.				

Case 2:09-cr-01298-DSF Document 45 Filed 04/09/12 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:310 Therefore, a certificate of appealability is denied. Dale S. Jischer 4/9/12 Dated: _____ Dale S. Fischer United States District Judge